

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,682	01/13/2004	Takahiro Iriyama	04012/LH	4120	
1933	7590 10/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			PASCHALL	PASCHALL, MARK H	
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
	10/757,682	IRIYAMA ET AL	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark H Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01-13-04</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title is too broad and should be amended to reflect monitoring and indication of electrode and nozzle wear in a plasma torch, or similar language.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6,10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rogozinski et al 187'. Rogozinski et al teach that it is conventional to sense wear on both nozzle and electrode elements in a plasma torch and indicate the same to the operator or stop the processing or initiate a change of the consumables. (see column 2) The logic tables in figures 16 in Rogozinski et al do comprise memory means that store the table elements for both the nozzle and the electrode, as claimed. This logic means does include selection means as claimed since the nozzle wear

indication of arcing parameters.

parameters sensed are compared to the stored nozzle parameters, and likewise for the electrode. Computing does take place since indications and determinations of worn nozzles and electrodes is given to the operator. Display means are evident since notice of the wear is given to the operator. As per claim 3 a stop signal as set froth in the applied patent would comprise an alarm. Note that the voltage sensed is also an

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent abstract 6070' in view of Rogozinski et al. 6070' teaches electrode

Art Unit: 3742

wear calculation and display for a plasma torch, but does not effect monitoring of the other torch consumable, i.e. nozzle. The patent to Rogozinski et al clearly teaches that it is beneficial to the process if the nozzle condition in addition to the electrode condition is monitored and calculated. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify t6070' to monitor and determine the condition of the nozzle in addition to the electrode, since the benefit of timely replacement of operating parts would be attained. As per claim 5 note that current sensing is taught by 6070 and the voltage sensing in Rogozinski et al is indicative of an arcing event.

Claims 5,7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogozinski et al in view of Japanese Patent Abstract 6070'. Rogozinski et al teach the claimed invention except for monitoring current as an indication of component wear. Such knowledge is ;conventional, as recognized by 6070, and uses of the same effects an accurate determination of the wear of the component. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify Rogozinski et al to sense current as the wear parameter, so that an effective indication of wear could be attained, thereby producing an efficient plasma processing operation and limiting false changing of the components.

Art Unit: 3742

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cecil et al, Oakley, Dallavalle et al and Enyedy are cited for disclosing monitoring systems for arc torches.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742